

**IN THE SUPREME APPELLATE COURT GILGIT-BALTISTAN
AT GILGIT**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 02/013
IN
S.M.C NO. 01/2011**

**Before:- Mr. Justice Raja Jalal-un-Din, Judge.
Mr. Justice Muzaffar Ali, Judge.**

**Shabbir Hussain Assistant Warden BPS-11 Fisheries Department of
Fisheries Skardu and 29 others.**

Petitioners

VERSUS

1. Sajjad Saleem Hotiyana Chef Secretary Gilgit-Baltistan
2. Asif Mehmood Secretary Finance Gilgit-Baltistan.
3. Atta-ur-Rehaman Secretary Services Gilgit-Baltistan.
4. Syed Hadi Present Secretary Agriculture, Live Stock & Fisheries Gilgit-Baltistan.

Proforma Respondents

5. Naib Khan Ex-Secretary Agriculture, Live Stock & Fisheries Gilgit-Baltistan.
6. Ghulam Abbas Director Fisheries Gilgit-Baltistan.

**S.M.C NO. 01/2011 WITH HOLDING OF SALARIES OF
EMPLOYEES OF VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS ETC.**

**APPLICATION FOR INITIATING THE CONTEMPT
PROCEEDING AGAINST THE RESPONDENT NO. 5&6
AND DUE TO NON COMPLAINE OF THE STRICT
DIRECTIONS GIVEN BY THE APEX COURT IN ITS
ORDER DATED 04-05-2011 REGARDING THE
REGULARIZATION OF THE SERVICES OF THE
APPLICANTS AND THE RESPONDENTS NO. 5&6 MAY
DEALT WITH IN ACCORDANCE WITH U.S 75 GILGIT-
BALTISTAN (EMPOWERMENT & SELF GOVERNANCE
ORDER 2009).**

Present:-

Advocate General Gilgit-Baltistan for the respondents.

Mr. Rehmat Ali, Advocate for petitioners.

Date of Hearing:-12-06-2014.

JUDGEMENT

RAJA JALAL-UD-DIN, J..... On 14/05/2014 the Secretary Fisheries Department Mr. kamal Khan was directed to look into the matter of petitioners with the assistance of Advocate General Gilgit-Baltistan and Mr. Rehmat Ali counsel for the petitioners.

Today the Secretary Fisheries Department Gilgit-Baltistan namely Kamal Khan informed the Court that the petitioners had been adjusted and the proceedings of Contempt of Court were no longer required.

The counsel for the petitioners was confronted who admitted that the petitioners had been given appointments and the order of this August Court was complied with.

The counsel for the petitioners was of the view that their regular employment should have been from the date of order of this Apex Court i.e. 04-05-2011.

We feel that the respondents have complied with the orders of this Court without going into the merits and demerits of the case.

We feel that the petitioners have duly been compensated by being appointed or regular footing.

The petition is disposed off accordingly.

Announced:- 12-06-2014.

Judge

Judge