IN THE SUPREME APPELLATE COURT GILGIT-BALTISTAN GILGIT

Cr. Misc. No. 09/2011

Before: Mr. Justice Syed Jaffar Shah Judge.

Mr. Justice Muhammad Yaqoob, Judge.

Asif Khan s/o Mirbaz Khan r/o Juglote (Sai) Tehsil & District Gilgit. Petitioner

Versus

The State Respondent

CHARGE UNDER SECTION 365 (B) PPC VIDE FIR NO. 25/2009 OF POLICE STATION JUGLOTE (SAI) GILGIT

Malik Haq Nawaz, Sr. Advocate for petitioner.

Date of hearing: 01.08.2011.

ORDER

We have perused the record with able assistance of learned counsel for petitioner, from tentative assessment of available material collected by the prosecution it appears that the victim minor girl about 13 years of age was recovered by the plice from a Suzuki Carry Diba of the petitioner along with a 30 bore pistol on

the pointation of which the victim was kidnapped. The victim girl in her statement recorded by the Judicial Magistrate under section 164 Cr.P.C. has also directly charged the petitioner for his involvement in the crime.

Having heard the arguments of learned counsel for petitioner and gone through available record we have come to conclusion that a prima facie case exists against petitioner and the learned Chief Court has rightly cancelled the bail under the circumstances, we find no substance in the argument of learned counsel. The petition is therefore dismissed. However our above observation are purely tentative in nature and the trial court without being influenced by the above observation shall dispose of the case within a period of 90 days. In case of non conclusion of the trial within specified time, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move fresh bail application before trial court if so advised.

Leave to appeal refused.

Judge

Judge